

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,211	07/06/2001	David D. Bohn	10003357-1 6278	
7	590 01/29/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			NGUYEN, FRANCIS N	
Intellectual Property Administration				<del></del>
P.O.Box 27240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2674	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/900,211	BOHN, DAVID D.				
nancery noden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANCIS NGUYEN	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires amonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see Supplemental Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	/	(1,1,0)				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	le Expathiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0.□ Other:						
<del></del>	/ Richa	RD HJERPE				
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED						
	IECHNOLO(	BY CENTER 2600				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/900,211

Art Unit: 2674

ADVISORY ACTION SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET

Response to Request for Reconsideration

1. The request for reconsideration filed on 1/16/2004 has been reviewed by the Examiner,

but is not persuasive for the following reasons.

The Office Action (Paper #3) mailed on 1/15/2003 made no error in the rejection of claims 1-4,

6, 8, 10-11, 13, 15, 16-21. This is evidenced by Applicants's amendment to overcome cited

art Adan et al. (US Patent 6,172,354) with the limitation "providing for the user a visual

indication".

Applicant's argument as to the amendments involve subject matter that "should reasonably

have been expected to be claimed" is not valid because there is no way any examiner can

anticipate what is expected to be claimed. The examiner can only analyze what is being

claimed but not what is expected to be claimed, using reasonable interpretation to apply art

rejection. The applicants had the opportunity to place the aforementioned amendment in previous

papers.

Since the amendment was not claimed before, and the scope of claims is changed, the

examiner believes the final Office Action is proper.

Therefore, the Office Action (Paper # 6) mailed on December 16, 2003 remains its final

status and there is no reset of the response period.

CONCLUSION

Application/Control Number: 09/900,211

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis Nguyen (8:00AM to 4:30PM) whose telephone number is (703) 308-8858.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

FRANCIS NGUYEN

Examiner

Art Unit 26

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

January 27th, 2004